UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,538	07/16/2004	Martin Edward Lee Pickford	PICKFORD-15651	6357
	7590 07/28/200 S OF WILLIAM H. HO	EXAMINER		
12311 HARBOR DRIVE			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D	
WOODBRIDGE, VA 22192			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/501,538	PICKFORD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CHRISTOPHER D. PRONE	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ag 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-43 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 41-43 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20,21,23-27,29-33 and 35-40 is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) 22,28 and 34 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	rn from consideration. ejected. election requirement.	≅xaminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the one Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Explanation is objected to by the Explanation is objected.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/29/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/28/08 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 41-43 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: they are directed toward a method of making an implant, which was never claimed in any of the previous sets of claims.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 41-43 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,538 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/29/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant provides extensive arguments reiterating the point that there is a large difference in the concentrations of silver ions absorbed between the current application and the Rosenberg Patent. However this is not convincing because not all of the argued subject matter is present in the independent claims. The independent claims need to be amended to require the details of the composition. They need to recite the materials and their concentrations. The examiner maintains that the claimed materials are still present in the Rosenberg reference, which would then inherently have the same effects as that in the current application. As pointed out by the applicant the concentrations are much lower so it would have a lesser affect on the tissue surrounding the implant, but it would still have some affect. The applicant is advised to put this concentration including actual numerical values within the independent claims or quantify what he means by "effective" in suppressing infection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20, 21, 23-27, 29-33, 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Rosenberg et. al. (5,185,075).

Art Unit: 3738

Rosenberg et al discloses an implant comprising a metal substrate that is anodized in phosphoric acid (col. 3, lines 35-39), forming a surface layer comprising a metal phosphate. Silver nitrate is then added to the solution thus forming silver phosphate (col. 5, lines 57-63) and consequently some silver phosphate in the titanium phosphate coating. These steps as disclosed by Rosenberg et al are essentially the same steps disclosed on page 5, lines 1-23 of the present specification, and therefore produce the claimed product. Please note that product by process claims are NOT limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only to the structure implied by the steps. Therefore method steps such as "wherein the surface of the implant is highly polished before provision of the surface layer" are given limited weight because the structure of the product is the same as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenberg et al (5,185,075).

Rosenberg as described above discloses the same invention, but fails to specifically recite that the surface layer is effective for at least 6 weeks. However since the term "effective" is a very broad parameter and is not specifically defined by the

Application/Control Number: 10/501,538 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

specification the examiner is viewing this limitation as having any positive effect upon an infection. Therefore since the implant of Rosenberg comprises the same chemical elements it is obvious that the surface will be effective in suppressing infection for at least 6 weeks.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22, 28, and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,538 Page 6

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

/Christopher D Prone/

/Corrine M McDermott/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738